

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING BY "EXPRESS MAIL" (37 CFR 1.10)

Applicant(s): James Allen Cox

Docket No.

15436.437.6

Application No.

10/037,010

Filing Date

December 31, 2001

Examiner

Hung T. Vy

Customer No.

022913

Group Art Unit

2821

Invention: TUNABLE LASER ASSEMBLY



I hereby certify that the following correspondence:

Issue Fee Transmittal Letter (1 pg., in duplicate), PTOL-85B Issue Fee Transmittal (1 pg., in duplicate); Comments on Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance (1 pg.); PTO-2038 Credit Card Form in the amount of \$1,703.00 (1 pg.); postcard; and Certificate of Express Mailing Label No. EV 524 682 744 US

(Identify type of correspondence)

is being deposited with the United States Postal Service "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" service under 37 CFR 1.10 in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on

January 5, 2005
(Date)

ERIC L. MASCHOFF

(Typed or Printed Name of Person Mailing Correspondence)

(Signature of Person Mailing Correspondence)

EV 524 682 744 US

("Express Mail" Mailing Label Number)

Note: Each paper must have its own certificate of mailing.



PATENT APPLICATION
Docket No: 15436.437.6

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of:)
)
James Allen Cox)
)
Serial No: 10/037,010) Art Unit
) 2821
Filed: December 31, 2001)
)
For: TUNABLE LASER ASSEMBLY)
)
Examiner: Hung T. Vy)

COMMENTS ON STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE


Mail Stop Issue Fee
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

Applicant respectfully disagrees with the Examiner's statement of reasons for allowance as set forth in the communication mailed on October 6, 2004. The Applicant concurs with the Examiner's conclusion that the prior art does not suggest or render obvious the claimed invention. However, Applicant submits that it is the claim as a whole, rather than any particular limitation, that makes each of the claims in the above-identified application allowable. No single limitation should be construed as the reason for allowance of a claim because it is each of the elements of the claim that distinguish the claim from the prior art and make it allowable.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: January 5, 2005

By: 
ERIC L. MASCHOFF
Attorney for Applicant
Registration No. 36,596
Customer No. 022913